

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 2313-1450

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NOVAK, DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP

1300 EYE STREET NW

SUITE 400 EAST

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

## **EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,533, 40/006,675; 40/007,73 i PATENT NO. 5436960. ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

					ma
APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/006,533		01/30/2003 5436960			4340
803	7590	09/10/2007		EXAMINER	
STURM & 206 SIXTE				Roland G.	Foster
SUITE 121	3		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOIN	NES, IA 5	0309-4076		3992	IFW
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/200	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS **AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 90/006,533; 90/006,675; 90/007,731 (merged) Filing Date: January 30, 2003

Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

p. 245

Appendix "A"

Appli	ication/C	ontrol Number: 90/006,533; 6,675; 7,731	Page
Art U	Jnit: 3992	2	
		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
(1)	Real P	Party In Interest	p. 3
(2)	Relate	d Appeals and Interferences	p. 3
(3)	Status	of Claims	p. 4
(4)	Status	of Amendments After Final	p. 4
(5)		ary of Claimed Subject Matter	p. 5
• •			_
(6)		nds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	p. 5
(7)	Claim	s Appendix	. p. 6
(8)	Evider	nce Relied Upon	р. б
(9)	Groun	ds of Rejection	p. 7
	Α.	Telenor	p. 7
	В.	Verjinski	p. 31
	C.	Perkins	p. 51
	D.	Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear	
	_	Behind the Perkins Reference	p. 74
	E.	35 USC 112 Rejections (Amended Claims Only)	p. 74
(10)	Respo	nse to Argument	р. 80
	i.	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 80
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 82
,	A.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial and	<del>-</del>
		Other Evidence	<b>p.</b> 87
	В.	The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable	
	_	Standard During Claim Interpretation	р. 88
	C.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper	р. 106
		C.1. Telenor	p. 106
	-	C.2. Verjinski	p. 165
	D.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper	p. 192
		D.1D.6. Perkins	p. 192
	TC	D.7D.9. Verjinski	p. 222
	E.	The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued	
	E 1 2	by the Appellant Are Insufficient  The Prior Pointing Under 35 U.S.C. S. 112 And Prior Pri	p. 225
	F.1,2	The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 305 Are Proper	p. 233
	F.3	The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 305 Are Proper	р. 242

Application/Control Number: 90/006,533; 6,675; 7,731

Art Unit: 3992

Page 3

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed June 26, 2006 appealing from the Office action mailed February 24, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

## (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns merged reexamination proceedings 90/006,533, 90/006,675, and 90/007,731 for U.S. Patent No. 5,436,960 (the "'960" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '960 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship <b>To</b> Subject U.S. Patent No. 5,436,960
6,317,592	95/000,020 & 90/006,495	Great, Great- Grandchild of '960.
6,067,451	90/006,494, 90/006,681, & 90/007,726	Great-Grandchild of '960.
5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680, & 90/007,735	Grandchild of '960.
5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Child of '960.
5,631,946	90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Divisional of '960.
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as '960.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 90/006,676	06/24/2003	5438611			5438
803	7590 (09/05/2007	•		EXAN	IINER
STURM & I			1	•	
SUITE 1213				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· DES MOINE	S, IA 50309-4076	•	<del></del>		

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 2213-1450
www.usgro.gov

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 2005

#### **EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,676.

PATENT NO. <u>5438611</u>.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 90/006,676 Filing Date: June 24, 2003

Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Appli	ication	/Control Number: 90/006,676	Page :
Art U	Jnit: 39	992	•
		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
(1)	Rea	l Party In Interest	p. 3
(2)	Rela	nted Appeals and Interferences	p. 3
(3)	Stat	us of Claims	p. 4
(4)	Stat	us of Amendments After Final	p. 4
(5)		amary of Claimed Subject Matter	~
		•	p. 4
(6)		unds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	p. 6
(7)	Clai	ms Appendix	р. б
(8)	Evic	lence Relied Upon	p. 6
(9)	Grounds of Rejection		
	A.	Telenor	p. 7
	В.	Verjinski	p. 35
	<b>C</b> .	Perkins	p. 51
	<b>D.</b>	Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear	
	<b>T</b> 77	Behind the Perkins Reference	р. 62
	E.	35 USC 112 Rejections (Amended Claims Only)	p. 63
(10)	Res	ponse to Argument	p. 68
	i.	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 68
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 70
	A.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial and	-
		Other Evidence	p. 75
	В.	The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable	
	_	Standard During Claim Interpretation	p. 76
•	C.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper	p. 94
	n	C.1C.2. Telenor	p. 94
	D.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper D.1. Telenor	p. 132
		D.1. Telenor D.2,-D.4. Perkins	p. 132
		D.5D.7. Verjinski	p. 132
	E.	The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued	p. 155
		by the Appellant Are Insufficient	n 170
	F.	The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper	p. 178 p. 187
	G.	The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 305 Are Proper	р. 167 р. 197
			P-+ /

Application/Control Number: 90/006,676

Page 3

This examiner's answer in response to the appeal brief filed December 21, 2006 appealing from the Office action mailed August 22, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

## (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns reexamination proceeding 90/006,676 for U.S. Patent No. 5,438,611 (the "'611" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '676 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship <b>To</b> Subject U.S. Patent No. 5,438,611
6,317,592	95/000,020 & 90/006,495	Great, Great- Grandchild of '960.
6,067,451	90/006,494, 90/006,681, & 90/007,726	Great-Grandchild of '960.
5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680, & 90/007,735	Grandchild of '960.
5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Child of '960.
5,631,946	90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Divisional of '960.
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as '960.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/006,491 <b>~ 40/696 (18</b> 12/26/2002 <b>~ 40/607123</b>		5625670		9464
•			EXAM	INER .
SUITE 1213	IA 50309-4076		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/30/200	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP

1300 EYE STREET NW

SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

#### EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,491, 90/006,678, 90/007,723
PATENT NO. 5625670.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 90/006,491; 90/006,678, 90/0007,723 (merged)

Filing Date: December 26, 2002 Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Appli	cation	/Control Number: 90/006,491; 6,678; 7,723	Page 2
Art U	Init: 39	992	· ·
		TADI E OE COMPENIES	
(1)	Rea	TABLE OF CONTENTS I Party In Interest	p. 3
(2)	Rela	ated Appeals and Interferences	р. 3
		us of Claims	
(3)			p. 4
(4)	Stat	us of Amendments After Final	p. 4
(5)	Sum	amary of Claimed Subject Matter	p. 4
(6)	Gro	unds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	p. 5
<b>(7)</b>	Clai	ms Appendix	р. б
(8)	Evic	lence Relied Upon	р. б
(9)	Gro	unds of Rejection	p. 7
	A.	Telenor	p. 7
	В.	Verjinski	p. 33
	C.	Perkins	p. 48
	D.	Cole	p. 54
	$\mathbf{E}_{ullet}$	Admitted Prior Art	p. 58
	F.	Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear	
		Behind the Perkins Reference	р. 69
	G.	35 USC 112 Rejections (Amended Claims Only)	p. 70
(10)	Res	oonse to Argument/Summary of Prosecution History	p. 75
	i,	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 75
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 77
	A.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial and	-
		Other Evidence	p. 81
	В.	The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable	, <del>-</del>
		Standard During Claim Interpretation	p. 82
	C.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper	p. 100
		C.1. Cole	p. 100
		C.2. Telenor	p. 111
		C.3. Verjinski	p. 151
	<b>D.</b>	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper	p. 181
		D.1. Perkins	p. 181
		D.3. Verjinski	p. 197
		D.7. Admitted Prior Art	p. 202
	E.	The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued	•
		by the Appellant Are Insufficient	p. 206
	F.	The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper	p. 214
	C	The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. & 305 Are Proper	n 225

Application/Control Number: 90/006,491; 6,678; 7,723

Art Unit: 3992

Page 3

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed on December 21, 2006 (the "Brief"), appealing from the Office action mailed August 22, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

## (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns merged reexamination proceedings (90/006,491, 90/006,678, and 90/007,723) for U.S. Patent No. 5,625,670 (the "'670" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '670 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship To Subject U.S. Patent No. 5,625,670
6,317,592	95/000,020 & 90/006,495	Great-Grandchild
6,067,451	90/006,494, 90/006,681, & 90/007,726	Grandchild
5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680, & 90/007,735	Child
5,631,946	90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Divisional of Parent and other related patents specified below
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as Parent



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademerk Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
90/006,493 <b>~ 40/00680</b> 12/26/2002 <b>~ 90/007135</b>		5819172	9467	
803 7	590 ' 08/30/2007		EXAM	INER
STURM & FI 206 SIXTH AV				,
SUITE 1213		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES,	, IA 50309-4076			•
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2007	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005

## **EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,493. ; 90/006,680 ; 90/007,735

PATENT NO. 5,819,172.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the ex parte reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 90/006,493 Filing Date: December 26, 2002 Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Application/Control Number: 90/006,493 Page 2
Art Unit: 3992

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

(1)	Real	Party In Interest	p. 3			
(2)	Related Appeals and Interferences					
(3)	Status of Claims					
(4)	Stat	us of Amendments After Final	p. 4			
(5)	Sum	Summary of Claimed Subject Matter				
(6)	Gro	unds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	p. 5			
<b>(7)</b>	Clai	ms Appendix	p. 5			
(8)	Evid	lence Relied Upon	p. 5			
(9)	Gro	unds of Rejection	р. б			
	A.	Telenor	р. 6			
	В.	Perkins	p. 34			
	C.	Verjinski	p. 52			
	D.	Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear	•			
		Behind the Perkins Reference	p. 76			
	E.	35 USC 112 Rejections (Amended Claims Only)	p. 76			
(10)	Resp	oonse to Argument	p. 82			
	i.	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 82			
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 84			
	A.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial and	•			
		Other Evidence	p. 88			
	В.	The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable				
		Standard During Claim Interpretation	р. 89			
	C.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper	p. 107			
		C.1. Telenor	p. 107			
		C.2. Perkins	p. 140			
		C.3. Verjinski	p. 156			
	D.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper	p. 176			
	E.	The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued	F			
	•	by the Appellant Are Insufficient	р. 178			
	F.	The Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper	p. 187			
	G.	The Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 305 Are Proper	p. 197			
	G.	The Double Patenting Rejections Are Withdrawn	p. 198			

Application/Control Number: 90/006,493

Art Unit: 3992

Page 3

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed on December 21, 2006 (the "Brief"), appealing from the Office action mailed August 22, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns merged reexamination proceedings (90/006,493, 90/006,680, and 90/007,735) for U.S. Patent No. 5,819,172 (the "172" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '172 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship To Subject U.S. Patent No. 5,819,172
6,317,592 6,067,451 5,631,946	95/000,020 & 90/006,495 90/006,494, 90/006,681, & 90/007,726 90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Grandchild Child Divisional of Grandparent and other related patents specified below
5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Parent
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as Grandparent
5,438,611	90/006,676	Continuation



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
90/006,494		12/26/2002	6067451		9468
. 803	7590	08/24/2007		EXAM	NER
STURM & 206 SIXTH	AVENUE			ADDIVO	
SUITE 121: DES MOIN	='	0309-4076	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOIN	ES, IA 3	·U3U3-4U/U	•	DATE MAILED, 09/24/2001	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Page 22 of 44

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP

1300 EYE STREET NW

SUITE 400 EAST

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

#### EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,494, 90/006,681, 90/007,726

PATENT NO. 6067451.

ART UNIT 2645.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.I CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	<b>5</b> .
90006494; 6681; 7726	12/26/02	6067451	•		

STURM & FIX LLP 206 SIXTH AVENUE SUITE 1213 DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076 EXAMINER

Roland G. Foster

ART UNIT PAPER

3992 20070823

DATE MAILED: MAILED

AUG 2 4 2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

The attached examiner's answer includes the required SPE signature per MPEP § 1207.01 and replaces the earlier examiner's answer, mailed August 20, 2007.

Roland G. Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3992



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

> MAILED AUG 2 4 2007

**CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT** 

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 90/006,494; 90/006,681; 90/007,726 (merged)

Filing Date: December 26, 2002

Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Applic	Application/Control Number: 90/006,494; 6681; 7726			
Art U	nit: 399	2	•	
		TABLE OF CONTENTS		
(1)	Real	Party In Interest	p. 3	
(2)	Related Appeals and Interferences		p. 3	
(3)	Statu	s of Claims	p. 4	
(4)	Statu	s of Amendments After Final	p. 4	
(5)	Sumi	nary of Claimed Subject Matter	p. 5	
(6)		nds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal	р. б	
(7)		ns Appendix	р. 6	
(8)		ence Relied Upon	р. б	
	. •		p. 7	
(9)		nds of Rejection	-	
	A. '	Telenor	p. 7	
	В. С.	Verjinski Perkins	p. 32	
	D.	Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear	p. 53	
	υ,	Behind the Perkins Reference	p. 70	
	E.	35 USC 112 Rejections (New Claims Only)	p. 70	
(10)	Resp	onse to Argument	p. 75	
	i.	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 75	
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 76	
•	A.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial	-	
		and Other Evidence	<b>p. 8</b> 0	
	В.	. The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable		
		Standard During Claim Interpretation	p. 81	
	<b>C.</b>	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper	p. 99	
		C.1. Telenor	p. 99	
		C.2. Perkins	p. 130	
	n	C.3. Verjinski The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper	p. 142 p. 175	
	D. E.	The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued	p. 173	
	Lu,	by the Appellant Are Insufficient	p. 175	
	F.	The Prior Art Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper	p. 173	
	Ĝ.	The Double Patenting Rejections Are Withdrawn	p. 192	
~	•		•	
Conc	lusion		p. 193	
Appe	endix "	A <sup>11</sup>	р. 194	

Application/Control Number: 90/006,494; 6681; 7726

Art Unit: 3992

Page 3

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed June 26, 2006 appealing from the Office action mailed February 22, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns merged reexamination proceedings (90/006,494, 90/006,681, and 90/007,726) for U.S. Patent No. 6,067,451 (the "451" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '451 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship To Subject U.S. Patent No. 6,067,451
6,317,592	95/000,020 & 90/006,495	Child
5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680, & 90/007,735	Parent
5,631,946	90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Divisional of Great- Grandparent and other related patents specified below
5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Grandparent
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as Great-Grandparent
5,438,611	90/006,676	Continuation of an abandoned application having



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/006,495	. 1	12/26/2002	6317592	9470	
803	7590	08/24/2007		EXAM	INER
STURM & 206 SIXTH			•		
SUITE 1213				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOIN	ES, IA 50	0309-4076			

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Transmittal of Communication to Third Party Requester Inter Partes Reexamination

Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination
95/000,020 90/006,495	6317592
Examiner	Art Unit
Roland G. Foster	3992

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. --

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above-identified reexamination proceeding. 37 CFR 1.903.

Prior to the filing of a Notice of Appeal, each time the patent owner responds to this communication, the third party requester of the *inter partes* reexamination may once file written comments within a period of 30 days from the date of service of the patent owner's response. This 30-day time period is statutory (35 U.S.C. 314(b)(2)), and, as such, it <u>cannot</u> be extended. See also 37 CFR 1.947.

If an ex parte reexamination has been merged with the *inter partes* reexamination, no responsive submission by any ex parte third party requester is permitted.

All correspondence relating to this inter partes reexamination proceeding should be directed to the Central Reexamination Unit at the mail, FAX, or hand-carry addresses given at the end of the communication enclosed with this transmittal.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.J CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
95000020; 90006495	5/29/03	6317592	t	81208	
				EXAMINER	
STURM & FIX LLP 206 SIXTH AVENUE			Ro	Roland G. Foster	
SUITE 1213 DES MOINES, IA 5030	9-4076		ART UNIT	PAPER	
			3992	20070823	
•			DATE MAILED	):	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

The attached examiner's answer includes the required SPE signature per MPEP § 1207.01 and replaces the earlier examiner's answer, mailed August 20, 2007.

Roland G. Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3992



#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	1	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
95000020; 90006495	5/29/03	- 6317592		81208
			E	XAMINER
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIC 1300 EYE STREET NW		:	Rola	nd G. Foster
SUITE 400 EAST TOWN WASHINGTON, DC 200			ART UNIT	PAPER
			3992	20070823
			DATE MAILED:	MAILED
				AUG 2 4 2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

#### Commissioner for Patents

The attached examiner's answer includes the required SPE signature per MPEP § 1207.01 and replaces the earlier examiner's answer, mailed August 20, 2007.

> Roland G. Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3992



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

> MAILED AUG 2 4 2007

CENTRAL REEXAMINATION UNIT

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application Number: 95/000,020; 90/006,495 (merged) Filing Date: May 29, 2003

Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Applio	plication/Control Number: 95/000,020; 90/006,495				
	nit: 399		•		
		·			
		TABLE OF CONTENTS			
(1)		Party In Interest	p. 3		
(2)	Relat	ted Appeals and Interferences	p. 3 p. 4		
(3)	Status of Claims				
(4)		s of Amendments After Final	p. 4		
(5)		mary of Claimed Subject Matter	p. 4		
(6)	Issue	s be Reviewed on Appeal	p. 5		
(7)	Clair	ns Appendix	p. 5		
(8)	Evid	ence Relied Upon	p. 5		
(9)	Grou	ands of Rejection	p. 6		
	i.	Introduction to the Email Redirect Feature (Two Interpretations)	p. 6		
	C.	Telenor	р. 12		
	D.	Verjinski	p. 39		
	E.	Perkins	p. 65		
	F.	Lazaridis	p. 90		
	G.	KA9Q	p. 109		
•	Н.	Lack of Entitlement to the Benefit of the Filing Date			
		of an Earlier Patent	p. 161		
	I.	Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear Behind			
		Certain 102(e) References	p. 184		
	J.	35 USC 112 Rejections (New Claims Only)	p. 184		
	K.	Claim Objections	р. 190		
(10)	Resp	oonse to Argument	p. 191		
	i.	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 191		
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 193		
	A.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial			
		and Other Evidence	р. 197		
	В.	The Appellant Objects to the Inter Partes Reexamination	р. 197		
	C.	Lack of Entitlement to the Benefit of the Filing Date	•		
		of an Earlier Patent	р. 198		
	D.	The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable			
		Standard During Claim Interpretation	p. 204		
	E.	The Office's Prior Art Rejections under 35 USC 102 Are Proper	p. 222		
		E.1. Telenor	p. 222		
		E.2. Verjinski	p. 264		
•		E.3. Perkins	p. 284		
		E.4. Lazaridis	p. 292		
	•	E.5. KA9Q	p. 292		
	F.	The Office's Prior Art Rejections under 35 USC 103 Are Proper	p. 299		
	G.	Secondary Indicia of Non-Obviousness Are Insufficient	p. 300		
	H.	The Office's Rejections under 35 USC 112 Are Proper	p. 309		
	I.	The Office's Rejections under 35 USC 305 Are Proper	р. 315		

Application/Control Number: 95/000,020; 90/006,495

Art Unit: 3992

Page 3

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed on April 4, 2007 appealing from the Right of Appeal Notice mailed December 8, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns merged reexamination proceedings (95/000,020 and 90/006,495) for U.S. Patent No. 6,317,592 (the "592" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '592 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship <b>To</b> Subject U.S. Patent No. 6,317,592
6,067,451	90/006,494, 90/006,681, and 90/007,726	Parent
5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680, & 90/007,735	Grandparent
5,631,946	90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Divisional of Great, Great- Grandparent and other related patents specified below
5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Great-Grandparent
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as Great, Great- Grandparent



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/006,677		06/24/2003	5479472		5442 ma
803	803 7590 09/04/2007			EXAM	INER
<del>-</del> - <del>-</del>	STURM & FIX LLP			Roland G.	Fosten
206 SIXTH SUITE 121:		3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOIN	=	50309-4076		3992	IFW
				DATE MAILED: 09/04/200	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

Novak Druce & Quigg 1300 Eye Street NW 400 East Tower Washington, DC 2005

## **EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,677.

PATENT NO. <u>5479472</u>.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS **AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 90/006,677 Filing Date: June 24, 2003

Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Application/Control Number: 90/006,677 Page 2 Art Unit: 3992 TABLE OF CONTENTS (1) Real Party In Interest **p.** 3 **(2) Related Appeals and Interferences** p. 3 **Status of Claims** (3) p. 4 **(4) Status of Amendments After Final** p. 4 **Summary of Claimed Subject Matter (5)** p. 4 Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal (6) p. 6 **(7)** Claims Appendix p. 6 (8) **Evidence Relied Upon** p. 6 (9) **Grounds of Rejection p.** 7 A. Telenor **p.** 7 B. Cole p. 33 C. Verjinski p. 37 **Perkins** D. p. 56 E. Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear Behind the Perkins Reference p. 64 35 USC 112 Rejections (New Claims Only) F. p. 64 (10)Response to Argument p. 71 i. **Summary of Prosecution History** p. 71 ii. **Background Technology** p. 72 The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial and A. Other Evidence p. 76 В. The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable **Standard During Claim Interpretation** p. 77 The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper C. p. 95 Telenor C.1. p. 95 C.2. Cole p. 132 C.3. Verjinski p. 146 The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper D. p. 165 The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued E. by the Appellant Are Insufficient p. 189 The Prior Art Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper F. p. 197

The Prior Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 305 Are Proper

p. 209

G.

Application/Control Number: 90/006,677

Art Unit: 3992

Page 3

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed on December 19, 2006 (the "Brief"), appealing from the Office action mailed August 22, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns reexamination proceeding 90/006,676 for U.S. Patent No. 5,479,472 (the "'472" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '472 patent.

	U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship <b>To</b> Subject U.S. Patent No. 5,479,472
	6,317,592	95/000,020 & 90/006,495	Great-Great- Grandchild of the related '960 patent.
	6,067,451	90/006,494, 90/006,681, & 90/007,726	Great-Grandchild of the related '960 patent.
	5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680 & 90/007,735	Grandchild of the related '960 patent.
•	5,631,946	90/006,492 & 90/006,679	Divisional of the related '960 patent.
	5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Child of the related '960 patent.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
90/006,492 <b>-</b> <b>90/00</b> 6	79 12/26/2002	5631946	-	9466	
•	7590 08/30/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER	
206 SIXTH AVENUE SUITE 1213 DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			DATE MAILED: 08/30/200	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP 1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER WASHINGTON, DC 20005

#### EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/006,492. 90/006, 679
PATENT NO. 5631946.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified ex parte reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS **AND INTERFERENCES**

Application Number: 90/006,492; 90/006,679 (merged) Filing Date: December 26, 2002

Appellant(s): NTP, Inc.

Brian M. Buroker For Appellant

**EXAMINER'S ANSWER** 

Application/Control Number: 90/006,492; 90/006,679 Page 2

Art Unit: 3992

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(1)	Real Party In Interest				
(2)	Related Appeals and Interferences				
(3)	Status of Claims				
(4)	Status of Amendments After Final				
(5)	Summary of Claimed Subject Matter				
(6)	Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal				
(7)	Claims Appendix				
(8)	Evid	Evidence Relied Upon			
(9)	Gro	unds of Rejection	<b>p.</b> 7		
	A.	Telenor	p. 7		
	В.	Verjinski	p. 30		
	C.	Cole	p. 41		
	D.	Perkins	p. 44		
	E.	Admitted Prior Art	p. 47		
	F. Response to Appellant's Attempt to Swear				
		Behind the Perkins Reference	p. 53		
	G.	35 USC 112 Rejections (Amended Claims Only)	p. 54		
(10)	Response to Argument/Summary of Prosecution History		p. 59		
(	i.	Summary of Prosecution History	p. 59		
	ii.	Background Technology	p. 61		
	Α.	The Examiner Has Given Due Weight to Testimonial and			
		Other Evidence			
	В.	The Examiner Properly Relied Upon the Broadest Reasonable	p. 65		
		Standard During Claim Interpretation	p. 66		
	C.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 Are Proper	p. 84		
	<b>C.</b>	C.1. Cole	p. 84		
		C.2. Telenor	p. 93		
		C.3. Verjinski	p. 136		
	D.	The Prior Art Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Are Proper	p. 150 p. 158		
	17.	D.1. Perkins	p. 158		
		D.3. Verjinski	-		
			p. 173		
	<b>TE</b> 2		p. 175		
	E. The Secondary Indicia of Non-obviousness Argued		100		
	172	by the Appellant Are Insufficient	p. 180		
	F.	The Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Are Proper	p. 189		
	G.	The Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 305 Are Proper	р. 198		

Application/Control Number: 90/006,492; 90/006,679

Page 3

Art Unit: 3992

This examiner's answer is in response to the appeal brief filed December 19, 2006 (the "Brief"), appealing from the Office action mailed August 22, 2006.

#### (1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

#### (2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The subject appeal concerns merged reexamination proceedings (90/006,492 and 90/006,679) for U.S. Patent No. 5,631,946 (the "'946" patent).

Related appeals are pending from reexamination proceedings for the following U.S. Patents, which are all related to the subject '946 patent.

U.S. Patent No.	Reexamination Proceedings (merged)	Relationship <b>To</b> Subject U.S. Patent No. 5,631,946
6,317,592	95/000,020 & 90/006,495	Great, Great- Grandchild of '960.
6,067,451	90/006,494, 90/006,681, & 90/007,726	Great-Grandchild of '960.
5,819,172	90/006,493, 90/006,680, & 90/007,735	Grandchild of '960.
5,625,670	90/006,491, 90/006,678, & 90/007,723	Child of '960.
5,479,472	90/006,677	Same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as '960.
5,438,611	90/006,676	Continuation of an abandoned application

Application/Control Number: 90/006,492; 90/006,679

Art Unit: 3992

having same filing date, assignee, and inventive entity as '960.

Page 4

5,436,960

90/006,533, 90/006,675, & 90/007,731

Same assignee and inventive entity as divisional

In addition, U.S. Applications No. 10/090,841 and 09/640,076 are currently pending before the Office, which are related as continuation applications of the 6,317,592 patent discussed above.

#### (3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is correct.

#### (4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

#### (5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct to the extent that it contains a concise explanation referring to the specification as required by 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v). However, those sections of the specification referred to by the Appellant regarding important claim terms do not appear entirely consistent with prior declarations submitted by the Appellant that also cited to the specification for support of the same claim terms.

For example, claim 1 recites several claim terms, such as "originating processor," "RF receiver," destination processor," and "interface" and in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v) the Brief cites to different sections of the '946 specification. However, Exhibit "A" (Claim Constructions)